arXiv:1606.05149v1 [quant-ph] 16 Jun 2016

Resonance interaction of two dipoles in optically active surroundings

Qi-Zhang Yuan[1], Chun-Hua Yuan[1,*] and Weiping Zhang[1]

June 17, 2016

[1]State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy, Department of Physics, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Road Shanghai 200241, People's Republic of China.

[*]chyuan@phy.ecnu.edu.com

Abstract

We study the resonance interaction between two quantum electric dipoles immersed in optically active surroundings. Quantum electrodynamics is employed to deal with dipole-vacuum interaction. Our results show that the optical activity of surroundings will not change the single atom behaviors while it can change the collective behaviors of the two dipoles, as well as greatly affect the dipole-dipole resonance interaction. Especially, if the orientations of two dipoles are orthogonal and respectively perpendicular to the interdipole axis, the interdipole resonance interaction can be established with the help of optically active surroundings while there is no resonance interaction in vacuum.

References

[1] D. P. Craig, and T. Thirunamachandran, *Molecular Quantum Electrodynamics* (Academic Press, 1984).

[2] H. Margenau, and N. R. Kestner, *Theory of Intermolecular Forces* (Elsevier, 1969).

[3] F. T. Rabouw, S. A. den Hartog, T. Senden and A. Meijerink, "Photonic effects on the Förster resonance energy transfer efficiency," Nature Communications **5**, 3610 (2014).

[4] G. K. Brennen, I. H. Deutsch, and P. S. Jessen, "Entanglement and quantum phase transition in the one-dimensional anisotropic XY model," Phys. Rev. A **61**, 062309 (2000).

[5] H. Matsueda, *Coherence and Statistics of Photons and Atoms*, edited by J. Perina (Wiley, New York, 2001).

[6] W. D. Phillips, "Nobel Lecture: Laser cooling and trapping of neutral atoms," Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 721 (1998).

[7] C.M. Dion, C. Drag, O. Dulieu, B. Laburthe Tolra, F. Masnou-Seeuws, P. Pillet, "Resonant Coupling in the Formation of Ultracold Ground State Molecules via Photoassociation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**(11), 2253 (2001).

[8] J. Vala, O. Dulieu, F. Masnou-Seeuws, P. Pillet, R. Kosloff, "Coherent control of cold-molecule formation through photoassociation using a chirped-pulsed-laser field," Phys. Rev. A **63**(1), 013412 (2000).

[9] A. Kalachev, and S. Kröll, "Coherent control of collective spontaneous emission in an extended atomic ensemble and quantum storage," Phys. Rev. A **74**(2), 023814 (2006).

[10] R. H. Dicke, "Coherence in Spontaneous Radiation Processes," Phys. Rev. **93**, 99 (1954).

[11] M. O. Scully, "Collective Lamb Shift in Single Photon Dicke Superradiance," Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**(14), 143601 (2009).

[12] J. Zheng, "Spectroscopy-Based Quantitative Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer Analysis," in *Ion Channels: Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology*, N. Gamper, ed. (Humana Press, 2006).

[13] K. Truong, and M. Ikura, "The use of FRET imaging microscopy to detect protein–protein interactions and protein conformational changes in vivo," Current Opinion in Structural Biology **11**(5), 573 (1991).

[14] H. Volkhard, "Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer," in *Principles of Computational Cell Biology*, H. Kiaris, ed. (Wiley-VCH, 2008).

[15] Th. Förster, *Modern Quantum Chemistry*, O. Sinanoglu, ed. (Academic, 1965), Pt. 3.

[16] B. A Pollok, and R. Heim, "Using GFP in FRET-based applications," Trends in Cell Biology **9**(2), 57 (1999).

[17] R. B. Sekar, and A. Periasamy, "Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy imaging of live cell protein localizations," The Journal of Cell Biology **160**(5), 629-633 (2003).

[18] B. O'Regan,and M. Grätzel, "A low-cost, high-efficiency solar cell based on dye-sensitized colloidal TiO2 films," Nature **353**, 737-740 (1991).

[19] Shu-Ming Yang, *Dye-Sensitized Nanocrystalline Photovoltaic Solar Cells* (Zhengzhou Univerdity Press, 2007).

1 Introduction

Resonance interaction (RI) [1, 2] is a mechanism describing two quantum emitters (one is excited and the other one is in ground state) with same transition frequencies interact with each other by exchanging virtual photons. RI represents the nature of the interaction between vacuum electromagnetic field and atomic system, and also plays important roles in broad application fields. For instance, RI can contribute to operation of solid state lasers and fluorescent tubes [3]. In quantum physics, RI is very useful in creating entanglement [4, 5], and also plays important roles in laser cooling [6], creating cold molecules [7, 8] and quantum storage [9, 10, 11]. The study of RI can contribute in developing precise measurement methods in chemistry and biology for metering distance between molecules [12] or between domains in one protein which can provide information about protein conformation [13], so it attracts the interests of chemists and biologists as well as physicists. Further more, RI between quantum emitters is the essence of Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) [14, 15], while the latter one lies at the core of biophysics cause it represents the nature of intermolecule interaction, provides the foundation of several detection methods [16, 17] and plays important role in biological phenomena such as photosynthesis. The investigation into photosynthesis can help in increasing the efficiencies of solar cells (specially the dye-sensitized solar cells [18]), which is in favor of the usage of solar energy.

In biological phenomena, RI generally takes place in living cells filled with solution of organic compounds, which are optically active in most cases. Similarly, the electrolyte solution used in dye-sensitized solar cells contains volatile organic compounds [19] and leads to an optically active surroundings. When the dipoles (which can be atoms, molecules, or just chromophores and fluorophores) are immersed in optically active solutions, the interaction between them must be affected by the optically active surroundings. The study of this effect can help in understanding the processes in natural photosynthesis, while the simulation of which can contribute in improving the efficiency of solar cells. We think this effect is important as well as interesting, but so far as we all know, there is an absence of detailed theoretical investigation of this effect.

In this paper, we study the RI between two quantum dipoles which are immersed in optically active surroundings. Quantum electrodynamics is employed to deal with dipole-vacuum interaction. We give out the analytical expressions of interdipole RI in optically active surroundings as well as several numerical examples. We find that the collective behaviors of the dipole pair (containing both the collective radiation rates and the interaction induced level shifts) are affected by optically active surroundings while the single atom properties are not. When the orientations of two dipoles are orthogonal and respectively perpendicular to interdipole axis, the optical rotation of the surrounding medium can cause great interaction between the dipoles while there is no interaction in vacuum.

2 Dynamics of two dipoles interaction

In this section, a brief review of the dynamical analysis of dipole-reservoir interaction will be given out.

We calculate the dipole-light interaction problem in Schrödinger picture. The two dipoles are marked by 1 and 2. The quantum state of the system can be expressed by

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1,2} C_i |i\rangle |0\rangle + \sum_{i=3,4} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} D_{i,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} |i\rangle |\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\rangle , \tag{1}$$

in which the basic states $|1\rangle - |4\rangle$ are

$$|1\rangle = |e_1 g_2\rangle , \ |2\rangle = |g_1 e_2\rangle , \ |3\rangle = |e_1 e_2\rangle , \ |4\rangle = |g_1 g_2\rangle . \tag{2}$$

$|e\rangle$ and $|g\rangle$ are the quantum states of the dipoles. $|g_i\rangle$ represents that the dipole i is in ground state and $|e_j\rangle$ means dipole j is in excited state. For example, the ket $|1\rangle = |e_1 g_2\rangle$ represents dipole 1 is excited while dipole 2 is in ground state. Electromagnet field state $|\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\rangle$ means a photon with wave vector $\boldsymbol{k}$ and polarization λ exists, while $|0\rangle$ represents there is no photon (the electromagnetic vacuum state). C_i and $D_{i,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ are probability amplitudes.

The total Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ can be departed into three parts: the electric dipole Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_D$, the electromagnetic Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_F$ and the atom-light interaction Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{INT}$. The dipole Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\hat{H}_D = \hbar \sum_i \omega_i \hat{\sigma}_{ii}, \tag{3}$$

in which $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = |i\rangle \langle j|$ are dipolar transition operators. The electromagnetic Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}_F (\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \hbar\omega_k \left(\hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \right) , \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{a}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ and $\hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$ are creation and annihilation operators for photon of mode $\boldsymbol{k}\lambda$, respectively. $\hbar$ is modified Planck constant and ω_k is the angular frequency of the photon. The interaction Hamiltonian writes

$$\hat{H}_{INT} = - \sum_{a=1,2} \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_a \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{E}} (\boldsymbol{r}_a) , \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \sum_{ij} \boldsymbol{d}^a_{ij} \hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ are the electric dipole operators, and $\boldsymbol{d}^a_{ij}$ are the dipole transition matrix elements. $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}$ is the electric field operator which is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} (\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} A_k \hat{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda} \boldsymbol{W} (\boldsymbol{k}\lambda, \boldsymbol{r}) + H.c, \tag{6}$$

where A_k is the normalization coefficients, and the electric wave mode function $\boldsymbol{W} (\boldsymbol{k}\lambda, \boldsymbol{r})$ is decided by the surrounding medium and the boundary conditions.

With the initial condition that dipole 1 is excited and dipole 2 is unexcited, the probability amplitude can be worked out:

$$C_1(t) = e^{A_L t}\frac{e^{A_T t} + e^{-A_T t}}{2},\ C_2(t) = e^{A_L t}\frac{e^{A_T t} - e^{-A_T t}}{2}. \tag{7}$$

The expressions of coefficients A_L and A_T as well as calculation process are given in appendix A. Then we further define dipole-exchanging symmetric (DES) state $|+\rangle$ and dipole-exchanging anti-symmetric (DEAS) state $|-\rangle$ which is given by

$$|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|e_1 g_2\rangle \pm |g_1 e_2\rangle\right), \tag{8}$$

and the corresponding probability amplitudes are

$$C_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(C_1 \pm C_2\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{(A_L \pm A_T)t}. \tag{9}$$

It is obviously that $|\Re\left(A_L \pm A_T\right)|$ and $\Im\left(A_L \pm A_T\right)$ are the damping rates and the level shifts of DES and DEAS states, respectively. A_L refers to single dipole mechanism and A_T represents collective behavior. According to the solution we get above, the dipole-dipole interaction energy can be calculated as

$$E_{int} = -2\hbar\Im A_T\left(|C_+|^2 - |C_-|^2\right). \tag{10}$$

The interdipole interaction energy is proportional to the collective level shift ($\Im A_T$) times the population difference between DES and DEAS states.

3 Electromagnetic field in optically active medium

In last section, we have given out a brief review of dipole-vacuum interaction. The general formulas are calculated, but to obtain the detail expressions, the mode function $\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda, \boldsymbol{r})$ must be specified. In this section, we will give the function $\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda, \boldsymbol{r})$ in optically active medium.

One beam of left (right) hand circularly polarized light with wave vector $\boldsymbol{k}$ can be expressed by Jones vector as

$$\boldsymbol{W}_{left/right} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},1} \pm i\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},2}\right)e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}, \tag{11}$$

$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},1}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},2}$ are unit vectors which are orthogonal to each other and perpendicular to wave vector $\boldsymbol{k}$. i is the imaginary unit. According to Fresnel's theorem, the phase velocities of left hand and right hand polarized light is different in optically active medium, then the general expression of light in optically active medium can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda, \boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},1} + s_\lambda i\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},2}\right)e^{in_\lambda \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}, \tag{12}$$

in which $\lambda = L,\ R$ represent the left and right hand circularly polarized components, respectively, and $s_L = 1,\ s_R = -1$. n_λ are the refractive indexes for the two circularly polarized components and $\boldsymbol{k}$ is wave vector in free space. Using Eq. (12), the expression of function $G_{aa(b)}$ in Eq. (34) can be derived

$$G_{11}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{d}_1 \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_1, \quad G_{12}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{d}_1 \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_2 e^{-in_\lambda \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2\right)}, \tag{13}$$

$$G_{22}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{d}_2 \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_2, \quad G_{21}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{d}_2 \cdot \mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_1 e^{-in_\lambda \boldsymbol{k}\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{r}_2 - \boldsymbol{r}_1\right)}, \tag{14}$$

in which

$$\mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) = \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},1}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},1} + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},2}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},2} + s_\lambda i\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},1}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},2} - \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},2}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{k},1}\right)\right]. \tag{15}$$

4 Damping rates and level shifts

After defining the functions G_{ab}, Eqs. (34) can be calculated. We shall go to the continuum limit

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \to \frac{V}{\left(2\pi\right)^3}\int d^3k, \tag{16}$$

and make use of Eq. (14) to obtain the analytical expressions of A_L and A_T

$$A_L/\Gamma_0 = -\sum_\lambda \frac{n_\lambda}{4} + i\sum_\lambda \frac{n_\lambda}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty d\tilde{\xi}\frac{\tilde{\xi}^4}{\tilde{\xi}^2 - 1}, \tag{17}$$

$$A_T/\Gamma_0 = -F_1\left(R\right) + iF_2\left(R\right), \tag{18}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} F_1\left(R\right) \;=\; & \sum_\lambda \frac{3n_\lambda}{8}\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1\left[\frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{n_\lambda k_0 R} + \frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^2} - \frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^3}\right] \\ & - \sum_\lambda \frac{3n_\lambda}{8}\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}\hat{\boldsymbol{R}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1\left[\frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{n_\lambda k_0 R} + 3\frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^2} - 3\frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^3}\right] \\ & + \sum_\lambda \frac{3n_\lambda}{8}s_\lambda\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2 \times \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1\right) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}\left[\frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{n_\lambda k_0 R} - \frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^2}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_2\left(R\right) \;=\; & \sum_\lambda \frac{3n_\lambda}{8}\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1\left[\frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{n_\lambda k_0 R} - \frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^2} - \frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^3}\right] \\ & - \sum_\lambda \frac{3n_\lambda}{8}\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}\hat{\boldsymbol{R}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1\left[\frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{n_\lambda k_0 R} - 3\frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^2} - 3\frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^3}\right] \\ & - \sum_\lambda \frac{3n_\lambda}{8}s_\lambda\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2 \times \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1\right) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}\left[\frac{\sin n_\lambda k_0 R}{n_\lambda k_0 R} + \frac{\cos n_\lambda k_0 R}{\left(n_\lambda k_0 R\right)^2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$-\sum_{\lambda}\frac{3n_{\lambda}}{8}s_{\lambda}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2\times\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1\right)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}\frac{2}{\pi}\left[\frac{1}{n_{\lambda}k_0R}I_1\left(n_{\lambda}k_0R\right)+\frac{1}{\left(n_{\lambda}k_0R\right)^2}I_2\left(n_{\lambda}k_0R\right)\right] \quad (20)$$

We have defined $\Gamma_0\equiv\left(k_0^3d^2\right)/\left(3\hbar\epsilon_0\pi\right)$, which is the spontaneous radiation rate of a single dipole in free space. $k_0=\omega_0/c$ where ω_0 is the resonance transition angular frequency of the dipoles and c is the vacuum light speed. $d=|\boldsymbol{d}_a|$ is the electric transition dipole momentum, and ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity. $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_a=\boldsymbol{d}_a/d$ are unit vectors that represent the polarization orientation of dipoles. The interdipole distance $R=|\boldsymbol{r}_1-\boldsymbol{r}_2|$, and the unit vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}=\left(\boldsymbol{r}_1-\boldsymbol{r}_2\right)/R$ represents the interdipole axis. The two functions I_1 and I_2 in Eq. (20) are improper integrals defined by

$$I_1\left(n_{\lambda}k_0R\right)=\int_0^{\infty}\frac{\tilde{\xi}^3e^{-\xi n_{\lambda}k_0R}}{\tilde{\xi}^2+1}d\tilde{\xi},\quad I_2\left(n_{\lambda}k_0R\right)=\int_0^{\infty}\frac{\tilde{\xi}^2e^{-\tilde{\xi}n_{\lambda}k_0R}}{\tilde{\xi}^2+1}d\tilde{\xi}. \quad (21)$$

Up to here, the analytical expressions of A_L and A_T have been calculated. Associated with Eqs. (9) - (10), the behaviors of the dipole pair can be fully described. According to Eq. (9), we obtain the damping rates of DES and DEAS states

$$\gamma_{\pm}/\Gamma_0=\sum_{\lambda}\frac{n_{\lambda}}{4}\pm F_1\left(R\right), \quad (22)$$

as well as the level shifts due to the interdipole interaction

$$\delta_{\pm}/\Gamma_0=\sum_{\lambda}\frac{n_{\lambda}}{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty}d\tilde{\xi}\frac{\tilde{\xi}^4}{\tilde{\xi}^2-1}\pm F_2\left(R\right). \quad (23)$$

5 Discussion

5.1 The behaviors of a single dipole

Considering a situation that $R\to\infty$, then $A_T\to 0$ and $C_1\left(t\right)=\exp\left(A_Lt\right)$ while $C_2\left(t\right)=0$. The physical picture of this result is that dipole 1 spontaneously emits photon while dipole 2 can never be excited. When the two dipole are very far away separated, they do not interact with each other and the population $\left(|C_1|^2\right)$ on the excited state of dipole 1 (the initially excited dipole) decays in a rate of $\sum_{\lambda}\Gamma_0n_{\lambda}/2$. That is why we say the single dipole mechanism is decided by A_L. By defining the average refractive index $\bar{n}=\sum_{\lambda}n_{\lambda}/2$, we find the spontaneous radiation rate of one single dipole immersed in optically active media is $\gamma_0=\bar{n}\Gamma_0$. Similarly, the imaginary part of A_L is the vacuum Lamb shift of a single dipole, which is obviously divergent according to Eq. (17). This divergent integral can be renormalized by Bethe's method

$$\int_0^{\infty}d\tilde{\xi}\frac{\tilde{\xi}^4}{\tilde{\xi}^2-1}\to\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{m_ec/\hbar k_0}d\tilde{\xi}\frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}-1}=\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{m_ec}{\hbar k_0}, \quad (24)$$

in which m_e is the observable mass of an electron. Using the expression of Eq. (24), we get the vacuum Lamb shift of a single dipole in optically active medium

$\delta_{Lamb} = \bar{n}\Gamma_0 \ln\left(m_e c/\hbar k_0\right)/\left(2\pi\right)$. δ_{Lamb} is position independent, which means it will not lead to any mechanical force. For this reason, we will not discuss the single dipole vacuum Lamb shift in the follows.

A_L represents the interaction between one single dipole and the vacuum electromagnetic field. According the analysis above, the radiation rate and level shift of a single dipole depend on the average rather then the difference of the refractive indexes for the two circularly polarized components, and the latter one decides the specific rotation. For this reason, we can say that the behaviors of a single dipole are affected by the average refractive indexes rather than the optical rotation of the surroundings.

5.2 The collective radiation rates and level shifts

According to Eqs. (22) and (23), we have obtained the damping rates $\left(\gamma_\pm/\Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2}\bar{n} \pm F_1\right)$ as well as the level shifts $(\delta_\pm/\Gamma_0 = \delta_{Lamb}/\Gamma_0 \pm F_2)$ of DES and DEAS states. The total damping rates (and level shifts) are the single dipole part A_L plus or minus the collective part A_T. The value of A_T is decided by the interaction between the dipoles via exchanging virtual photons, therefore it describes the collective behaviors of the dipole pair. By checking Eqs. (19) and (20), the most notable properties is that in optically active surroundings. The cross product $(\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1 \times \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2)$ of dipole 1 and dipole 2 contributes to the interdipole interaction, while this term does not appear in optically inactive case. This result is not difficult to understand. Imagining one situation that the orientations of the two dipoles are perpendicular to each other. If the dipoles are located in optically inactive surroundings, when one virtual photon are emitted by dipole 1, the polarization of the photon is also exactly perpendicular to dipole 2 and it is impossible to be absorbed.

For the two dipoles can just interact with each other by exchanging virtual photons, they can not "feel" each other in this perpendicular orientation situation. But when the dipoles are immersed in optically active surroundings, the polarization of the virtual photon emitted by the donor dipole will be rotated in propagation, and it may has a component parallel to the acceptor dipole then the photon can be absorbed. This effect make term $(\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_1 \times \hat{\boldsymbol{d}}_2)$ appears in Eqs. (19) and (20), which respectively represent two-dipole collective radiation rate and level shift. Furthermore, according to Eqs. (19) and (20), the two circularly polarized components contribute differently to the interdipole interaction because of the different refractive indexes.

5.3 Numerical examples

To visually show the results given above, we will give out three numerical examples in the follows. We plot the damping rates and the level shifts as functions of interdipole distance and compare the different behaviors of the dipole pair in optically active and inactive surroundings. To specify the parameters, we set the average refractive index of the surrounding medium to be 3 , and the specific rotation divided by wave vector k to be -1.5.

We first consider a situation that the two dipoles are orthogonally polarized, and the orientations of them are perpendicular to the interdipole axis, as shown in Fig. 1. According to the physical analysis above, two orthogonally polarized dipoles in vacuum can not interact with each other while an optically active surroundings can help in establishing interdipole RI. To check this analysis, we plot the level shifts as well as the radiation rates in optically inactive and active surroundings. The results in the different situations are compared in Fig. 1. In



Figure 1: (Color online) The polarizations of the two atom are orthogonal and respectively perpendicular to the interdipole axis, as shown in (d). $\Gamma_S = 2\gamma_+/\Gamma_0$ represents the damping rate of the population of DES state defined in Eq. (8) while $\Gamma_{AS} = 2\gamma_-/\Gamma_0$ refers to DEAS state. In the optically (a) inactive case, the level shift is a constant which means the two dipoles do not interaction with each other. When the dipoles are immersed in (b) optically active medium, the RI can be built up by exchanges of virtual photons between the two dipoles. Furthermore, the dimensionless dipole-dipole RI $E_{int}/\hbar\Gamma_0$ at time $\Gamma_0 t = 1$ are plotted as functions of interdipole distance in (c). $\delta = (\delta_+ - \delta_-)/\Gamma_0$ represents the dimensionless energy level difference between the DES and DEAS states, while these two states are degenerate without interdipole interaction.

the optically inactive case, according to Fig. 1(b), the level shift δ is zero, which means the DES and DEAS states are degenerate and the two dipoles do not interact with each other. The damping rates of DES and DEAS state are $3\Gamma_0$, which indicate that dipole 1 can not feel the existence of dipole 2 and just decays alone. In the optically active case, the damping rates and level shifts vary with the interdipole distance in Fig. 1(c), which implies an RI between the dipoles. We plot the interdipole RI in the case of perpendicular dipole orientations as functions of interdipole distance in Fig. 1(c). For RI decays with time, we choose a time at $\Gamma_0 t = 1$, and use $\hbar\Gamma_0$ as the unit of interaction energy. The results of

this numerical experiment agree with the physical analysis above, and typically shows that optically active surroundings can noticeably affect interdipole RI.

Now we further consider a situation that the polarizations of the two dipoles are syntropic and perpendicular to the interdipole axis, as shown in Fig. 2. The level shifts and the radiation rates in optically inactive and active cases



Figure 2: (Color online) The polarizations of the two atom are syntropic and respectively perpendicular to the interdipole axis as shown in (d). The variations of collective radiation rates and level shift with respect to interdipole distance R are noticeably different in optically (a) inactive and (b) active cases. The dimensionless dipole-dipole RI $E_{int}/\hbar\Gamma_0$ at time $\Gamma_0 t = 1$ are plotted as functions of interdipole distance in (c). RI behaves rather differently in optically active and inactive surroundings.

are compared in Fig. 2(a) and (b). We see that for the optically inactive case, the first point of minimum of the level shift δ appears in the neighbourhood of $k_0R = 3$ while it does near $k_0R = 2$ for the optically active case. Obviously that the curves in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b) are different, which means the resonance interaction of the dipoles are affected by the optical rotation of the medium. The dimensionless interaction energy $E_{int}/\hbar\Gamma_0$ in the case of syntropic dipole orientations at time $\Gamma_0 t = 1$ are plotted in Fig. 2(c).

Next we consider a situation that the dipoles are isotropically polarized, namely $d_x = d_y = d_z = d/\sqrt{3}$, as shown in Fig. 3. The level shifts and the radiation rates in optically inactive and active cases are plotted in Fig. 3(a) and (b), which behave differently. For example, when the interdipole separation is about $k_0R = 1.5$, the DEAS state decays faster in optically inactive surroundings while the DES state does in optically active case. The dimensionless interaction energy $E_{int}/\hbar\Gamma_0$ in the case of isotropic polarization at time $\Gamma_0 t = 1$ are plotted in Fig. 3(c).



Figure 3: (Color online) The polarizations of the dipoles are isotropic ($d_x = d_y = d_z = d/\sqrt{3}$) as shown in (d). The variations of collective radiation rates and level shift with respect to interdipole distance R are noticeably different in optically (a) inactive and (b) active cases. The dimensionless dipole-dipole RI $E_{int}/\hbar\Gamma_0$ at time $\Gamma_0 t = 1$ are plotted as functions of interdipole distance in (c). RI behaves rather differently in optically active and inactive surroundings.

These three numerical examples typically show the effect on RI of optically active surroundings. In studying RI or using it to develop measurement methods, the optical rotation of surroundings in which dipoles are immersed can not be ignored.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have calculated the RI between two electric dipoles immersed in absorption free optically active surroundings. The analytical expressions of the collective radiation rates and level shifts are given out, which satisfy general dipole orientations and interdipole distances. Considering the optical rotation of surrounding medium, the cross product of two electric dipole moment appears while this term does not contribute to interdipole RI in optically inactive case. By comparing the RI in optically inactive and active cases numerically, we obtain that the interdipole RI are noticeably affected by the optical rotation of surroundings. Especially, in the case of that the orientations of two dipoles are orthogonal, the optical rotation of surrounding medium can help in building up interdipole RI while there is no interaction in situation of free space. Our result can help in studying the nature of RI between electric dipoles immersed in optically active medium, and may contribute in developing accurate methods

of measurement in chemistry and biology.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11474095, 11274118, 11234003, and 11129402, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

A Appendix

To get Eq. (7), we make use of Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\left|\psi\right\rangle}{\partial t}=\hat{H}\left|\psi\right\rangle, \tag{25}$$

to get the equations of motion of the probability amplitudes C_i and $D_{i,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}$

$$\dot{C}_1=\frac{i}{\hbar}\sum_{a=1,2}\sum_{i'=3,4}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}D_{i',\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}A_k\boldsymbol{d}_{1i'}^a\cdot\boldsymbol{W}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda,\boldsymbol{r}_a\right), \tag{26}$$

$$\dot{C}_2=\frac{i}{\hbar}\sum_{a=1,2}\sum_{i'=3,4}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}D_{i',\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}A_k\boldsymbol{d}_{2i'}^a\cdot\boldsymbol{W}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda,\boldsymbol{r}_a\right), \tag{27}$$

$$\dot{D}_{3,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}=-i\left(\omega_k+\omega_0\right)D_{3,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}+\frac{i}{\hbar}\sum_{a=1,2}\sum_{i'=1,2}C_{i'}A_k^*\boldsymbol{d}_{3i'}^a\cdot\boldsymbol{W}^*\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda,\boldsymbol{r}_a\right), \tag{28}$$

$$\dot{D}_{4,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}=-i\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)D_{4,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}+\frac{i}{\hbar}\sum_{a=1,2}\sum_{i'=1,2}C_{i'}A_k^*\boldsymbol{d}_{4i'}^a\cdot\boldsymbol{W}^*\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda,\boldsymbol{r}_a\right). \tag{29}$$

To solve these equations, we shall first calculate the formal solutions of Eqs. (28) and (29)

$$D_{3,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\left(t\right)=\frac{i}{\hbar}A_k^*\sum_{a=1,2}\sum_{i'=1,2}\boldsymbol{d}_{3i'}^a\cdot\boldsymbol{W}^*\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda,\boldsymbol{r}_a\right)C_{i'}\left(t\right)\left[\pi\delta\left(\omega_k+\omega_0\right)-\frac{i}{\left(\omega_k+\omega_0\right)}\right], \tag{30}$$

$$D_{4,\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}\left(t\right)=\frac{i}{\hbar}A_k^*\sum_{a=1,2}\sum_{i'=1,2}\boldsymbol{d}_{4i'}^a\cdot\boldsymbol{W}^*\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda,\boldsymbol{r}_a\right)C_{i'}\left(t\right)\left[\pi\delta\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)-\frac{i}{\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)}\right], \tag{31}$$

in which the Markov approximation has been used

$$\int_0^t C_{i'}\left(t'\right)e^{-i\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)\left(t-t'\right)}dt'\approx C_{i'}\left(t\right)\left[\pi\delta\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)-\frac{i}{\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)}\right]. \tag{32}$$

Substituting Eqs. (30) and (31) into Eqs. (26) and (27), we can get the equations of C_1 and C_2

$$\dot{C}_1=A_{11}C_1\left(t\right)+A_{12}C_2\left(t\right),\dot{C}_2=A_{22}C_2\left(t\right)+A_{21}C_1\left(t\right), \tag{33}$$

and the coefficients A_{ab} is defined by

$$A_{aa} = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}|A_k|^2\left\{G_{aa}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right)\pi\delta\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)-G_{aa}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right)\frac{i}{\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)}-G_{bb}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right)\frac{i}{\left(\omega_k+\omega_0\right)}\right\},$$

$$A_{ab} = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\lambda}|A_k|^2\left\{G_{ba}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right)\pi\delta\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)-G_{ba}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right)\frac{i}{\left(\omega_k-\omega_0\right)}-G_{ab}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right)\frac{i}{\left(\omega_k+\omega_0\right)}\right\}, \quad (34)$$

in which $a \neq b$. The G functions are defined as $G_{aa(b)}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda\right) = \boldsymbol{d}_a \cdot \boldsymbol{W}^*\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda, \boldsymbol{r}_a\right)\boldsymbol{W}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\lambda, \boldsymbol{r}_{a(b)}\right)\cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{a(b)}$. The dipole transition matrix elements $\boldsymbol{d}_{ij}^a$ have been denoted as $\boldsymbol{d}_a$ for simplicity. The initial state is $C_1 = 1$ and $C_2 = 0$, we further define

$$A_L = A_{11} = A_{22}, A_T = A_{12} = A_{21}, \quad (35)$$

and it is not difficult to get solution (7) by solving Eq. (33).